

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TIMOTHY HOYT,)	
)	
Plaintiff(s),)	No. C10-1778 RS (BZ)
)	
v.)	
)	SECOND DISCOVERY ORDER
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN)	
FRANCISCO, et al.,)	
)	
Defendant(s).)	
_____)	

Following a telephone conference at which both sides we represented by counsel, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** as follows:


1. Before **April 29, 2011**, Mr. Bracamontes, Ms. Higgins and Mr. White shall meet in accordance with my initial discovery order and confer on the record in an effort to resolve both sets of outstanding disputes in accordance with the views expressed by the Court.

2. If they are unable to agree, by **May 3, 2011**, plaintiff shall file a supplemental memorandum identifying which of the disputes set forth in his motion to compel dated March 18, 2011 still require Court intervention. Defendants shall file their opposition by **May 16, 2011**. Any reply shall be filed by

1 **May 23, 2011.** The Court will schedule a hearing if one is
2 necessary.

3 3. If the parties are unable to resolve the differences
4 over the information defendants are seeking about plaintiff's
5 medical condition, defendants have leave to file an
6 appropriate motion to compel. The motion shall be filed by
7 **May 11, 2011.** Any opposition shall filed by **May 23, 2011** and
8 a reply shall be filed by **May 27, 2011.** The Court will
9 schedule a hearing if one is necessary.

10 Dated: April 22, 2011

11 

12 Bernard Zimmerman
United States Magistrate Judge

13
14 G:\BZALL\REFS\HOYT V. CITY & CTY.S.F\DISC ORD 2.wpd
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28